

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 09/852,848	05/11/2001	Kenneth Arneson	20-487	5684	
MANELLI DE	7590 10/26/2007 NISON & SELTER PLI	EXAMINER			
7th Floor 2000 M Street,	N W	BARQADLE, YASIN M			
Washington, DC 20036-3307			ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
			2153		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/852,848	ARNESON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yasin M. Barqadle	2153		

·	Yasin M. Barqadle	2	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover si	neet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an a tice of Appeal (with	imendment, aff appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in	ater than SIX MONTHS	S from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corres shortened statutory pe r than three months aft	ponding amount riod for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR	41.37 must be	filed within two mont	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CI	FR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,				ecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>		earch (see NO	TE below);	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ber of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			•	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		otice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		l in a separate,	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro			ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .				
Claim(s) rejected: 7,8 and 26-33.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejection	ons under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	= -		•
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place t	he application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s)		
13.  Other:				,
	4	24	To	
	عر	GKENTON	B. BURGESS	
	S		PATENT EXAMINER	
		IECHNULUG	Y CENTER 2100 .	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 09/852,848** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitations of "receiving a call from a first device associated with... at a second device associated with a second telephone number" in claims 26 and 30 need further consideration and/or search. Regarding claim 7, it appears the Applicant is arguing the references of a "103" rejection individually rather than what the combined reference would teach. Smith is relied upon to teach the limitation of "without answering the call". As to "using call related information to identify and provide said email to said communication device", Pepe clearly teaches this limitation. (See col. 6, lines 30-65 and col. 21, lines 18-67).